

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ISAAC GONZALES,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-00-0945 GEB KJM P

vs.

CAL A. TERHUNE, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by the postal service.¹ It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 83-182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 83-182(f); 83-183(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty

¹ This is the third time in the last several months that court documents have been returned as undeliverable. Despite re-service of orders and attempts to serve plaintiff as his last known addresses, service has proven to be infeasible due to plaintiff's frequent, recent address changes.

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 DATED: August 21, 2006.

7
8 
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 1/mp
14 gonz0945.33a(3)